UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,646	02/10/2006	Alan David Borthwick	PG5041USW	5114
23347 7590 09/06/2007 GLAXOSMITHKLINE			EXAMINER	
CORPORATE	INTELLECTUAL PRO	BARKER, MICHAEL P		
	FIVE MOORE DR., PO BOX 13398 RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709-3398		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/537,646	BORTHWICK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael P. Barker	1626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	VIS SET TO EXPIPE 2 MONTH	(S) OP THIRTY (30) DAVS				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3 Ju.	ly 2007, Amendment After NonFi	in. Rej.				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under the	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,10 and 11</u> is/are pending in the	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,10 and 11</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8 and 10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		, , , , ,				
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Applica	tion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been receiv	red in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not receiv	ed.				
		·				
Attachment(s)	<b>-</b>					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/537,646

Art Unit: 1626

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant canceled Claims 7 and 9 and amended Claims 5, 10, and 11. Claims 1-6, 8,

Page 2

10, and 11 are pending in this Application. *Note*: This case has been transferred from

Examiner Nyeemah Grazier to Examiner Michael Barker.

### Response to Remarks

## Rejections Withdrawn/Overcome:

- Applicant's arguments regarding the rejection put forth under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are persuasive. The rejection over **Claims 1-6**, **8**, **10**, and **11** is withdrawn, as the reference relied upon to make the rejection is owned by Applicant, negating it as a 102(e) reference.
- Applicant's amendment to Claim 10 overcomes the rejection put forth under 35 U.S.C. 112, ¶1. Accordingly, the rejection over Claim 10 is withdrawn.
- Applicant's amendment to Claim 11 overcomes the rejection put forth under 35 U.S.C. 112, ¶2. Accordingly, the rejection over Claim 11 is withdrawn.
- The obviousness-type double patenting (ODP) rejection of **Claim 11** over Claim 3 of copending Application No. 11/548,404 is withdrawn. There is an overlap in scope between the process disclosed in the '404 Application and the instant Application. However, unlike the maintained/FINAL rejections which follow, the '404 Application does not recite a species with which the Examiner can proffer the obviousness rationale associated with H for CH<sub>3</sub> substitutions. Instead, such a rejection would require picking and choosing from amongst the listed substituents in each case to come up with the species needed to ground an obviousness-type rejection.
- The ODP rejection of Claims 1-6, 8, 10, and 11 over Claims 1-9, 12, 14, and 15 of copending Application No. 11/378,947 (US Patent No. 7,226,929) is withdrawn. No grounds for an obviousness-type rationale associated with species exists in the '929 Patent.
- The ODP rejection of Claims 1-6, 8, 10, and 11 over Claims 1-9, 12, 14, and 15 of copending Application No. 11/384,094 (US Patent No. 7,226,929) is withdrawn. No grounds for an obviousness-type rationale associated with species exists in the '929 Patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,646 Page 3

Art Unit: 1626

# Rejections Maintained/Made FINAL:

• Claims 1-6 and 8 were rejected over Claims 1-9 of U.S. Patent No. 7,186,717 (ODP). The thrust of the rejection was overlap in scope and H for CH<sub>3</sub> substitution. This rejection is maintained and made FINAL. Applicant has not shown evidence of unexpected results nor amended the claims to overcome this rejection. Applicant is invited to submit evidence of unexpected results associated with the instantly claimed compounds versus, for instance, those disclosed in Claim 8 of the '717 Patent.

- Claims 1-6 and 8 were provisionally rejected over Claim 1 of copending Application No. 10/537,645 (ODP). The thrust of the rejection was overlap in scope and H for CH<sub>3</sub> substitution. This rejection is maintained and made FINAL. Applicant has not shown evidence of unexpected results nor amended the claims to overcome this rejection. Applicant is invited to submit evidence of unexpected results associated with the instantly claimed compounds versus the compound disclosed in Claim 1 of the '645 Application.
- Claim 10 was provisionally rejected over Claim 1 of copending Application No. 11/548,402 (ODP). The thrust of the rejection was overlap in scope and H for CH<sub>3</sub> substitution. Claim 10 has since been amended to narrow the scope of conditions susceptible to amelioration by a thrombin inhibitor. Claim 10 should have originally been rejected over Claim 1, as well as Claims 9-11, of the '402 Application. Claim 10 of the instant Application now recites species of conditions susceptible to amelioration by a thrombin inhibitor. Also, Claims 9-11 of the '402 Application recite species differing from the instantly claimed genus by the substitution of H for CH<sub>3</sub>, which is not a patentable distinction without a showing of unexpected results. This rejection is maintained and made FINAL.
- Claims 1-6 and 8 were provisionally rejected over Claims 1-7 an 9 of copending Application No. 11/548,395 (ODP). The thrust of the rejection was overlap in scope and H for CH<sub>3</sub> substitution. This rejection is maintained and made FINAL. Applicant has not shown evidence of unexpected results nor amended the claims to overcome this rejection. Applicant is invited to submit evidence of unexpected results. See: (E)-2-(4-chlorophenyl)-N-{(3S)-1-[(1S)-1-methyl-2-morpholin-4-yl-2-oxoyethyl]-2-oxopyrrolidin-3-yl}-ethensulfonamide, Claim 8 of '395 Application.

### Conclusion

Claims 1-6, 8, and 10 remain rejected. Claim 11 is drawn to allowable subject matter.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to

Art Unit: 1626

expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

## Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael P. Barker whose telephone number is (571) 272-4341. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 AM- 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Joseph K. McKane, can be reached at (571) 272-0699. The unofficial fax phone for this group are (571) 273-8300.

Michael P. Barker Patent Examiner, AU 1626 Technology Center 1600 REBECCA ANDERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

(for) Joseph McKane Supervisory Patent Examiner, AU 1626 Technology Center 1600